Synthesis and Characterization of Lewis Base-Free, σ -Bonded Lithium Aryls: A Structural Model for Unsolvated Phenyllithium in the Solid State

Rudolf J. Wehmschulte and Philip P. Power*

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, California 95616

Received August 19, 1996[⊗]

Abstract: The synthesis and characterization of four Lewis base-free, σ -bonded lithium aryls are reported. This work was undertaken in order to provide a model for the solid-state structure of phenyllithium, which is currently unknown. Nondonor hydrocarbon solubility of the four lithium aryls (LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu₂)₆ (1), (LiC₆H₄-4-*t*-Bu)_n (2), (LiC₆H₄-4-*n*-Bu)_n (3), and {LiC₆H₄-4-SiMe₂(*t*-Bu)}_n (4) was achieved by the incorporation of *meta*- or *para*-substituents on the aryl rings. This permitted ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and crystal growth using their solutions. It is proposed that the absence of bulky *ortho*-substituents allows association of the lithium aryls to occur in a manner similar to that of phenyllithium itself. The ¹³C NMR data for the *ipso*-carbon atoms suggest an association number of at least four or, more probably, six in solution. These data are in agreement with the X-ray crystal structure of 1, which is hexameric, with a distorted octahedral (trigonal antiprismatic) array of lithium ions. Six of the eight Li₃ faces are capped by an aryl group that interacts primarily through the C(*ipso*) atom. Weaker Li-C(*ortho*) interactions are also apparent. This structure is the first of this type for an unsolvated, σ -bonded lithium aryl. Crystal data with Cu K α ($\lambda = 1.541$ 78 Å) radiation for 1 at 130 K: 1, C₈₄H₁₂₆Li₆, M = 1177.49, a = 13.516(2) Å, b = 15.124(3) Å, c = 20.958(3) Å, $\alpha = 84.084(13)^\circ$, $\beta = 86.249(11)$ Å, $\gamma = 68.675(13)^\circ$, V = 3967.7(11) Å³, Z = 2, space group $P\overline{1}$, $R_1 = 0.085$ for 7983 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$) data.

Introduction

The structures of unsolvated, σ -bonded lithium aryls are not as well investigated as their alkyl counterparts.¹ For example, full details of the solid-state structure of the simplest lithium aryl, i.e. LiPh, remain unavailable. Part of the reason for this is the lack of hydrocarbon solubility and low volatility of simple derivatives such as LiPh, LiC_6H_4 -4-Me, or LiMes (Mes = 2,4,6- $Me_3C_6H_2^{-}$), which makes the growth of crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography problematical in the absence of donor solvents such as ethers or amines. Hydrocarbon solubility and consequent ease of crystal growth may be increased by using lipophilic aryl ring substituents, and this has allowed the crystal structures of the unsolvated σ -bonded aryl lithiums (LiTrip)₄² $(Trip = 2,4,6-i-Pr_3C_6H_2^{-}), (LiC_6H_3-2,6-Mes_2)_2^2, and (LiC_6H_3-2,6-Mes_2)_2^{-2})$ 2.6-Dipp₂ $)_2^3$ (Dipp = 2.6-*i*-Pr₂C₆H₃⁻) to be determined. These are illustrated in Figure 1. In addition, the structure of a weakly complexed benzene adduct, η^6 -C₆H₆·LiC₆H₃-2,6-Trip₂, which has a unique, unassociated structure, is known.³ A characteristic feature of the structures of these sterically crowded lithium aryls is that the Li⁺ ion, in addition to being σ -bonded to a phenyl ring, is also π -complexed, either inter- or intramolecularly, by nearby aryl ring(s). Thus, the structure of $(\text{LiTrip})_4$ is a tetramer (structure A, Figure 1) in which the four Li^+ ions lie approximately in a plane, and each Li^+ is σ -bound to one aryl and π -bonded to an aryl ring from a neighboring molecule

S0002-7863(96)02889-2 CCC: \$14.00

within the tetramer. The dimers $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-Mes}_2)_2$ (**B**) and $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-Dipp}_2)_2$ (**C**) display different types of π -bonding to *ortho*-aryl substituents of the terphenyl ligands.

The observation of π -complexation of the Li⁺ ion in these^{2,3} and related⁴ sterically encumbered lithium aryls suggests that π -interactions of this type might be a common feature of σ -bonded lithium aryl structures in general. This hypothesis, however, has received little support from ¹³C NMR spectroscopy.^{5,6} Thus, Lewis base-free LiPh in the solid state displays a C(*ipso*) chemical shift^{5a} that is very close to that of LiPh in Et₂O solution which, by previous NMR spectroscopic studies,^{6d} was shown to have a structure very similar to that of the tetramer (Et₂O·LiPh)₄ in the crystal phase.⁷ The X-ray crystal structure of the latter reveals no $Li^+ - \pi$ -aryl ring interactions and has a distorted cubane arrangement of four tetrahedrally disposed, ether-solvated Li⁺ ions and four σ -bonded phenyls, which triply bridge the Li₃ faces through a C(ipso) atom.⁷ In contrast, exact details of the Lewis base-free LiPh structure in the solid state are not currently available.

To investigate the solid-state structure of uncomplexed LiPh, four lithium aryl derivatives, which have hydrocarbon-solubilizing substituents only at the *meta-* or *para-*positions of the phenyl ring, have been synthesized. The absence of bulky substituents at the *ortho-*positions was expected to allow

[®] Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, March 1, 1997.

^{(1) (}a) Setzer, W. N.; Schleyer, P.v.R. Adv. in Organomet. Chem. 1985, 24, 354. (b) Weiss, E. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1501. (c) Beswick, M. A.; Wright, D. S. Comprehensive Organomet. Chem. II; Pergamon Press: New York, 1995, Vol. 1, Chapter 1. (d) Methoden der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl, Carbanionen, Band E19d, Hanack, M., Hrsg.), Georg Thieme Verlag: Stuttgart, 1993.

⁽²⁾ Ruhlandt-Senge, K.; Ellison, J. J.; Wehmschulte, R. J.; Pauer, F.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1993**, 115, 11353.

⁽³⁾ Schiemenz, B.; Power, P. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1996, 35, 2150.

⁽⁴⁾ Kurz, S.; Hey-Hawkins, E. Organometallics, 1992, 11, 2729.

^{(5) (}a) Johnels, D.; Edlund, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 393, C35.
(b) Berger, S.; Fleischer, U.; Geletneky, C.; Lohrenz, J. C. W. Chem. Ber. 1995, 128, 1183.

^{(6) (}a) Waack, R.; West, P.; Doran, M. A. Chem. Ind. (London) **1966**, 1035. (b) Jones, A. J.; Grant, D. M.; Russell, J. G; Fraenkel, G. J. Phys. Chem. **1969**, 73, 1624. (c) Seebach, D.; Hässig, R.; Gabriel, J. Helv. Chem. Acta, **1983**, 66, 308. (d) Jackman, L. M.; Scarmoutzos, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 4627. (e) Bauer, W.; Winchester, W. R.; Schleyer, P.v.R. Organometallics, **1987**, 6, 2371. (f) Wehman, E.; Jastrzebski, T. B. H., Ernsting, J.-M; Grove, D. M.; van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. **1988**, 353, 133.

⁽⁷⁾ Hope, H.; Power, P. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5320.



Figure 1. Schematic drawings of the currently known unsolvated lithium aryls (LiTrip)₄ (**A**), (LiC₆H₃-2,6-Mes₂)₂ (**B**), and (LiC₆H₃-2,6-Dipp₂)₂ (**C**). Carbon atoms are shown as circles, lithium atoms as thermal ellipsoids (30%), and σ -bonds as solid lines. Hydrogen atoms are not shown.

association of the lithium aryls to occur in a manner similar to that in phenyllithium itself, while lipophilic substituents at the *meta-* or *para-*positions should provide sufficient hydrocarbon solubility for solution spectroscopy and crystal growth from their solutions. The results of these experiments are now reported.

Experimental Details

General Procedures. All reactions were performed by using modified Schlenk techniques under an inert atmosphere of N₂ or in a Vacuum Atmospheres HE43-2 drybox. Solvents were freshly distilled under N₂ from Na/K or Na/K/benzophenone ketyl and degassed twice before use. NMR spectra were obtained on a General Electric QE-300 NMR spectrometer and referenced to an internal standard. ⁷Li NMR spectra were referenced to the external standard LiCl in D₂O solution. Li(*t*-Bu) (1.5 M) in pentane, 4-*n*-BuC₆H₄Br, 4-*t*-BuC₆H₄Br, 1,4-dibromobenzene, and *t*-BuMe₂SiCl were purchased commercially and used as received. 3,5-*t*-BuC₆H₄Br was synthesized by a literature procedure.^{8a} 4-*t*-BuMe₂SiC₆H₄Br was synthesized in a manner similar to 4-Ph₃SiC₆H₄Br,^{8b} by the reaction of *t*-BuMe₂SiCl with LiC₆H₄-4-Br, and purified by distillation under reduced pressure (bp 67–69 °C, 0.1 Torr, 59% yield).

(LiC₆H₃-3,5-t-Bu₂)₆ (1). A solution of Li(t-Bu) (9.4 mmol) in benzene (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 3,5-t-Bu₂C₆H₃-Br (1.28 g, 4.7 mmol) in benzene (30 mL) at room temperature. This produced slight warming, and a very fine white solid began to precipitate from the colorless solution after ca. 30 min. After being stirred for 3 days, the precipitate was allowed to settle, and the colorless, slightly cloudy supernatant solution was decanted and was concentrated to ca. 30-40 mL. Cooling in a 6 °C refrigerator for 1 week gave 0.15 g of 1 as large (1-2 mm) colorless prisms, which were suitable for X-ray crystallography. Concentration of the mother liquor to ca. 5 mL followed by cooling in a 6 °C refrigerator for 4 days gave a further 0.06 g of (LiC₆H₃-3,5-t-Bu₂)₆. Yield: 22.8%. Mp: softens at ca. 127 °C and gradually darkens from orange (ca. 195 °C) to black (ca. 290 °C). No melting was observed below 310 °C. Anal. Calcd for LiC14H21: C, 85.68, H, 10.79. Found: C, 85.90, H, 10.10. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): 7.84 (s, o-H, 2H), 7.50 (s, p-H, 1H), 1.28 (s, m-C(CH₃)₃, 18H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): 170.6 (broad, *i*-C), 150.7 (*p*-C), 132.7 (o-C), 122.1 (m-C), 35.0 (m-C(CH₃)₃), 31.8 (m-C(CH₃)₃). ⁷Li NMR(C₆D₆, 23 °C): 3.5 (s).

(Et₂O·LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu₂)₄. Et₂O (13.6 μ L, 0.13 mmol, 9.6 mg) was added to 1 (0.025 g, 0.022 mmol) in *ca*. 0.4 mL of C₆D₆ in an NMR tube at room temperature. After *ca*. 15 min, a clear, colorless solution resulted. The NMR spectra taken 15 or 60 min after Et₂O addition were identical. ¹H-NMR: 8.26 (s, broad, *o*-H), 7.45 (s, *p*-H), 2.86 (*q*, OCH₂), ³J_{HH} = 6.9 Hz, 1.50 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 0.57 (t, OCH₂CH₃). ¹³C-{¹H} NMR: 171.1 (*i*-C), 147.9 (*p*-C), 133.4 (*o*-C), 120.3 (*m*-C), 65.2 (OCH₂), 34.9 (*C*(CH₃)₃), 32.1 (C(*C*H₃)₃), 14.2 (OCH₂*C*H₃). The NMR

data after addition of a second equivalent Et₂O *ca*. 1.5 h later: 8.26 (s, *o*-H), 7.41 (s, *p*-H), 3.08 (*q*, OCH₂), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 1.50 (s, C(CH₃)₃), 0.85 (t, OCH₂CH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR: 171.8 (*i*-C), 147.5 (*p*-C), 133.8 (*o*-C), 120.2 (*m*-C), 65.6(OCH₂), 34.9 (C(CH₃)₃, 32.2 (C(CH₃)₃), 14.9 (OCH₂CH₃). ${}^{7}Li$ NMR: 2.20. Et₂O in C₆D₆ for comparison: ${}^{1}H$ NMR: 3.25 (*q*, OCH₂), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 1.11 (t, CH₃). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR: 65.9 (OCH₂), 15.5 (CH₃).

(**THF**·LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-**Bu**₂)₄. Tetrahydrofuran (14.4 μ L, 0.18 mmol, 12.8 mg) was added to a slurry of **1** (0.035 g, 0.03 mmol) in *ca*. 0.4 mL of C₆D₆ at room temperature to give a clear, colorless solution. Further equivalents of THF were added such that the ratios of Li:THF were 1:2, 3, 5, and 10. NMR spectra were recorded in each case. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded within 60 min of each THF addition. These spectra are illustrated in Figure 5 (vide infra). ¹H NMR: 8.29 (s, *o*-H), 7.43 (s, p-H), 3.12 (m, OCH₂), 1.52 (s, *m*-C(CH₃)₃, 1.11 (m, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 173.4 (*i*-C), 146.9 (*p*-C), 134.2 (*o*-C), 119.5 (*m*-C), 67.8 (OCH₂), 35.0 (*m*-C(CH₃)₃), 32.3 (*m*-C(CH₃)₃), 25.2 (CH₂).

Reaction between 1 and Excess THF (vide infra Figue 5). ¹H NMR: 8.08 (s, *o*-H), 7.21 (s, *p*-H), 3.52 (m, OCH₂), 1.46 (m, *m*-C(CH₃)₃ and CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 183.6 (*i*-C), 144.8 (*p*-C), 136.9 (*o*-C), 117.3 (*m*-C), 67.8 (OCH₂), 34.8 (*m*-C(CH₃)₃), 32.5 (*m*-C(CH₃)₃), 25.8 (CH₂). THF in C₆D₆ for comparison: ¹H NMR: 3.55 (m, OCH₂), 1.42 (m, CH₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: 67.7 (OCH₂), 25.8 (CH₂).

(LiC₆H₄-4-t-Bu)_n (2). A solution of Li(t-Bu) (20.8 mmol) in benzene (15 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 4-t-BuC₆H₄Br (2.22 g, 10.4 mmol) in benzene at room temperature. The solution gradually became warm, and a white, very fine precipitate began to form after ca. 5 min. Stirring was discontinued and the slurry was left standing at room temperature for ca. 20 h. This solution was then cooled in a 6 °C refrigerator for 5 days. Removal of the turbid, colorless supernatant liquid gave 0.65 g of microcrystalline 2, which can be recrystallized from refluxing toluene to afford the product as very small colorless needles which were dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 44.6%. Mp: turns red at 170 °C; melts and turns dark red at 205-6 °C (dec). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C): 7.86 (d, *o*-H, 2H), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 7.27 (d, m-H, 2H), 1.25 (s, p-C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₆D₆, 85 °C), 150.6 (p-C), 140.6 (o-C), 125.8 (m-C), 34.4 (p-C(CH₃)₃), 31.4 (p-C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (C₇D₈, 110 °C): 165.8 (*i*-C), 150.8 (*p*-C), 140.6 (o-C), 125.9 (m-C), 34.7 (p-C(CH₃)₃), 31.6 (p-C(CH₃)₃). ⁷Li NMR (C₆D₆, 23 °C, referenced to external LiCl in D₂O): 2.7 (s). Anal. Calcd for $C_{11,17}H_{13,34}Li (1/n^2 \cdot 1/6 PhMe)$: C, 86.80; H, 8.70. Found: C, 86.31, H, 8.52. The compound 2 crystallizes as a solvate from both toluene and benzene. The ratio of LiC_6H_4 -4-t-Bu to C_6H_6 or PhMe is 6:1 by ¹H NMR. The solvent may be removed under reduced pressure.

 $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-}n\text{-Bu})_n$ (3). Li(*t*-Bu) solution (1.5 M;12.4 mL, 18.6 mmol) in *n*-pentane was added dropwise to a solution of 1.98 g (9.3 mmol) of 4-*n*-BuC₆H₄Br in pentane at 0 °C. The slightly cloudy, pale yellow reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature (*ca.* 2 h) and stirred for 3 days. The finely divided, off-white solid was collected on a glass frit, washed with *n*-pentane (30 mL), and was dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 1.63 g. The NMR spectra of this solid,

^{(8) (}a) Bartlett, P. D.; Roha, M.; Stiles, R. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 2349. (b) *Organometallic Syntheses*, Eisch, J. J., King, R. B., eds., Academic Press, New York, 1981; Vol. 2, pp 93–94.

which is sparingly soluble in hot C_6D_6 and is a mixture of **3** and *ca*. 20% LiBr on the basis of elemental analysis, are as follows. ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 80 °C): 7.76 (d, *o*-H, 2H), ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 7.10 (d, *m*-H, 2H), 2.50 (t, α -CH₂, 2H), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1.57 (tt, β -CH₂, 2H), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.5$ Hz, 1.30 (tq, γ -CH₂, 2H), 0.87 (t, CH₃, 3H), ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.5$ Hz. ${}^{13}\text{C}\{{}^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (C₆D₆, 85 °C): 166.2 (i-C), 142.2 (p-C), 140.6 (o-C), 129.2 (m-C), 36.0 (α-C), 33.8 (β-C), 22.7 (γ-C), 13.9 (CH₃). ⁷Li NMR (C₆D₆, 80 °C, referenced to external LiCl in D₂O): 2.2 (s). Attempts to extract pure 3 from this solid by using refluxing toluene (80 mL) led to a clear, red solution, from which 0.29 g of a pale, off-white, microcrystalline solid precipitated after cooling to room temperature. This solid, which appeared to be less soluble in hot C_6D_6 than the crude product, was identified as 3 by its conversion to Me₃SiC₆H₄-4-n-Bu in 77% yield by the reaction with excess Me₃SiCl (1.0 mL) in 20 mL of n-pentane for 3 days at room temperature. Elemental analysis shows the presence of LiBr even after recrystallization.

[LiC₆H₄-4-SiMe₂-t-Bu]_n (4). Li(t-Bu) solution (1.5 M; 9.5 mL, 14.2 mmol) in pentane was added dropwise to a solution of 1.93 (7.1 mmol) BrC₆H₄-4-SiMe₂-t-Bu in ca. 100 mL pentane at room temperature. After ca. 30 min, the reaction mixture became pale yellow and a fine white precipitate began to form. The reaction vessel was then cooled with a water bath. Stirring was discontinued after 4 h to allow the fine, voluminous precipitate (a mixture of 4 and LiBr) to settle. Because after 4 days the precipitate still occupied two-thirds of the reaction mixture, it was collected on a glass frit and dried under reduced pressure (crude yield, 0.97 g). Extraction with hot toluene (40 mL, 90 °C, 5 min), followed by decanting the slightly cloudy supernatant liquid and subsequent cooling to ca. -20 °C for 1 week, resulted in the isolation of 0.23 g of 4 (contaminated with LiBr) as a colorless microcrystalline solid (tiny needles), which was washed twice with *n*-pentane (2×20) mL) and dried under reduced pressure. Yield: 16.3%. ¹H NMR(C₆D₆, 22 °C): 7.76 (d, *o*-H, 2H), ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.9$ Hz, 7.44 (d, *m*-H, 2H), 0.93 (s, C(CH₃)₃, 9H), 0.25 (s, Si(CH₃)₂, 6H). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR(C₆D₆, 22 °C): 169.8 (i-C), 139.5 (o-C), 136.5 (p-C), 134.7 (m-C), 26.8 (C(CH₃)₃), 17.2 (C(CH₃)₃), -6.1 (Si-CH₃). ⁷Li NMR(C₆D₆, 22 °C): 2.4 (s). Mp: turns pink at 170 °C; gradually darkens until it melts and turns dark red at 205-7 °C.

X-ray Crystallography. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic studies were grown from benzene (1a) or toluene (1b) solutions, and separate data sets were collected on each. Both crystal structures displayed disorder in four of the six crystallographically independent t-Bu groups (see Supporting Information). The crystals grown from benzene (i.e., 1a) gave a superior data set and these data were used to calculate the structural parameters. Crystal data at 130 K are as follows. **1a**: C₈₄H₁₂₆Li₆, M = 1177.49, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 13.516-(2) Å, b = 15.124(3) Å, c = 20.958(3) Å, $\alpha = 84.084(13)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 86.249$ - $(11)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 68.675(13)^{\circ}$, V = 3967.7(11) Å³, Z = 2, $D_{\rm C} = 0.986$ g cm^{-3} , λ (Cu K α) = 1.541 78 Å, F(000) = 1296, $\mu = 0.387 mm^{-1}$, 7983 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, $R_1 = 0.085$ and $wR_2 = 0.202$. **1b**: $C_{84}H_{126}Li_6$, M = 1177.48, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a = 12.954(3) Å, b = 13.487(3) Å, c = 13.505(4) Å, $\alpha = 104.18(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 104.51(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 111.19(2)^{\circ}$, V = 1977.0(9) Å³, Z = 1, $D_{\rm C} = 0.989$ g cm⁻³, λ (Cu Kα) = 1.54178 Å, F(000) = 648, $\mu = 0.388$ mm⁻¹, 3938 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$.

The structures were solved⁹ by using direct methods. Absorption corrections (XABS2) were applied to all data.¹⁰ Refinement was by full matrix least-squares methods based on F^2 with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-disordered non-hydrogen atoms. The occupancies of each disordered methyl carbon site are provided in the Supporting Information.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Initial experiments to test the Schlosser method¹¹ (illustrated by the generalized equation (1)) for the synthesis of compounds 1-4 showed that metal halogen exchange did indeed take place.

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Vol. 119, No. 12, 1997 2849

$$ArX + Li(n-Bu) \xrightarrow{hexane} LiAr + n-BuX \quad (1)$$
$$(X = Br, I)$$

For example, in the case of $[\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}4\text{-}t\text{-}\text{Bu}]_n$, NMR data revealed the slow formation of the lithium aryl which initially remains in solution. After a *ca*. 50% conversion, however, a white microcrystalline precipitate began to form and additional *n*-Bu signals appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum. These were assigned to 4-*t*-BuC₆H₄-*n*-Bu, which is the product of the competing reaction between LiAr and *n*-BuBr (eq 2).

$$\text{LiAr} + n - \text{BuBr} \rightarrow n - \text{BuAr} + \text{LiBr} \downarrow \tag{2}$$

This coupling reaction is apparently suppressed in the case of simple lithium aryl species such as LiPh or LiC₆H₄-4-Me by their insolubility in nondonor hydrocarbons. Thus, they are removed from the equilibrium by precipitation, which tends to drive the reaction in eq 1 to completion. For sterically hindered *ortho*-substituted aryls (i.e., compounds **A**, **B**, or **C**) this reaction also appears to be suppressed, but in this case it is probably for steric reasons. To minimize this coupling reaction the *meta*and *para*-substituted aryl halides were lithiated by 2 equiv of the more reactive Li(*t*-Bu) according to the method of Seebach¹² (eq 3).

In this method the reaction between LiAr and t-BuBr is greatly diminished by the competing reaction between Li(t-Bu) and t-BuBr to afford LiBr, t-BuH, and Me₂CH=CH₂. Monitoring these reaction mixtures by NMR spectroscopy showed clearly the formation of the respective lithium aryls. In the case of the more soluble species $(LiC_6H_3-3,5-t-Bu_2)_6$ (1), the very fine (almost colloidal) LiBr precipitate was allowed to settle for several days, after which time the supernatant liquid was decanted, concentrated under reduced pressure, and cooled to ca. 6 °C for 1 week to afford the crystalline product in low yields (ca. ~20%). The compound $[LiC_6H_4-4-t-Bu]_n$ (2) crystallizes directly from the reaction mixture in the form of small colorless spherical aggregates (up to 1 mm diameter) which contain some benzene. Recrystallization from hot benzene or toluene affords small colorless needles of 2 which are solvates of the formula LiC_6H_4 -4-t-Bu· $^{1}/_6\text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ or LiC_6H_4 - $4-t-Bu^{-1}/_{6}PhMe$, as determined by ¹H NMR. The molecules of the solvent may be evaporated under reduced pressure. The observation of the ratio of one solvent molecule per 6 equiv of lithium aryl in the case of both benzene and toluene supports the formulation of **2** as a hexamer.

The syntheses of $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_4-n\text{-Bu})_n$ (**3**) and $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-4}\text{-SiMe}_2-(t\text{-Bu}_2))_n$ (**4**) are best conducted in *n*-pentane. The off-white solid crude products—a mixture of either **3** or **4** and LiBr¹³—were collected on a sintered glass frit, washed once with *n*-pentane, and dried under reduced pressure. Dissolving **3** in refluxing toluene gives a clear, red solution. Interestingly, the LiBr coproduct appears to be partly soluble under these conditions as well, and further recrystallization of **3** from toluene failed to remove all the LiBr. This suggests that it could be associated with the ArLi framework. However, it is notable that, at present, organolithium—lithium halide complexes have only been isolated as donor stabilized species as in (LiPh·Et₂O)₃·LiBr,⁷ {Li-

⁽⁹⁾ SHELXTL-Plus, A Program for Crystal Structure Determination, Version 5.03, 1994, Siemens Analytical X-Ray Instruments: Madison, WI. (10) Parkin, S. R.; Moezzi, B.; Hope, H. XABS2: an empirical absorption correction program. J. Appl. Crystallogr. **1995**, 28, 53.

⁽¹¹⁾ Schlosser, M.; Ladenberger, V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1967, 8, 193.

⁽¹²⁾ Seebach, D.; Neumann, H. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 847.

⁽¹³⁾ Analyses of crude samples of compounds **3** and **4** showed that they were contaminated with *ca*. 20-30% LiBr. The carbon and hydrogen analyses are consistent with the remainder of the sample being the lithium aryl. Recrystallization reduced, but did not eliminate, the amount of included LiBr.



Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot (30%) of one of the two independent molecules of 1. Methyl groups and hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity.

(*c*-CH)(CH₂)₂)₂·(LiBr)₂·Et₂O)₄,¹⁴ or the intramolecularly coordinated LiC₆H₃{CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂}₂-2,6·LiBr.¹⁵ Cooling to room temperature affords feathery microcrystals, which with excess Me₃SiCl in pentane give 4-*n*-BuC₆H₄SiMe₃ in 77% yield. The aryllithium compound **4**, however, can be extracted from this mixture with hot toluene to give, after filtration and crystallization at -20 °C, dumbell-shaped microcrystalline aggregates. So far, only crystals of **1** have proven suitable for X-ray crystallography. However, the availability of these enabled the first structural characterization of a simple, base-free, sterically unencumbered σ -bonded aryllithium compound.

Structure. (LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu₂)₆ crystallizes from benzene in the triclinic space group P1 with two crystallographically independent, trimeric half-molecules in the asymmetric unit. These half-molecules are chemically equivalent, but one (i.e. incorporating Li(4), etc.), displays a slightly more irregular geometry than the other. The other half of each molecule is generated by a crystallographically required inversion center. There are no close contacts between the resultant hexamers, and the shortest intermolecular Li-C distance is 5.269 Å. Each hexameric molecule (Figure 2) involves a trigonal, antiprismatic array of lithiums in which there are six short (range 2.491(9)-2.545(9) Å, avg 2.52(2) Å) and six long (range 3.050(8)-3.270-(9), avg 3.14(7) Å) Li–Li distances. The six aryl substituents triply bridge six of the eight triangular Li₃ faces and are bound principally through their ipso-carbon atoms. Two of the equilateral and opposite faces which involve the six longest Li-Li edges remain uncapped. The aryl groups do not bridge the Li₃ faces symmetrically since there is one short (range 2.119-(7)-2.151(7) Å, avg 2.14(1) Å) and two longer (range 2.195-(7)-2.247(7) Å, avg 2.22(1) Å) sets of Li-C(*ipso*) distances. Thus, each Li⁺ ion has a stronger interaction with a specific ipso-carbon, i.e. Li(1) with C(1), Li(2) with C(15), Li(3a) with C(29), etc. Furthermore, the short Li–C interactions have a greater σ -character since they are more closely aligned to the aryl ring plane, as evidenced by the relatively low angles (16.9-24.2°) between the Li-C and C(ipso)-C(para) lines (Figure 3). The remaining two long Li-C bonds at each lithium have more π -like character with angles between the Li-C and C(ipso)-C(para) vectors in the range 52.6-77.4°. This bonding configuration, which is very similar in the case of each lithium, also allows Li-C(ortho) interactions that are in the



⁽¹⁵⁾ Wehman-Ooyevaar, I. C. M.; Kapteijn, G. M.; Grove, D. M.; Spek, A. L., van Koten, G. J. Organomet. Chem. **1993**, 452, C1-C-3.



Figure 3. View of the aryl group interaction with one of the capped Li_3 triangles in **1**. No ring substituent atoms are shown.



Figure 4. Schematic drawing summarizing the important average interatomic distances (Å) and angles in 1.

range 2.36–2.47 Å. These weaker Li–C(*ortho*) interactions are also facilitated by the alignment of the phenyl ring above each Li₃ face. Similarly, relatively close Li–H contacts (in the range 2.06–2.52 Å) involving *ortho*-hydrogens of the aryl group may be calculated. The aromatic rings display the usual structural deviations associated with substitution of a hydrogen with an electropositive metal. The C–C–C angle at the *ipso*carbon atoms, which formally carry the negative charge, is narrowed to 113.9° (avg) and this is accompanied by a slight lengthening of the C(*ipso*)–C(*ortho*) distances to 1.404 Å (avg). Important structural parameters for **1** are summarized in Figure 4.

The structure of **1** may be compared to those of the alkyl hexamers {Li(c-C₆H₁₁)}₆•2C₆H₆,¹⁶ {LiCH₂(c-CHCMe₂CMe₂)}₆,¹⁷ {LiCH₂SiMe₃}₆,¹⁸ {Li(*i*-Pr)}₆,¹⁹ or {Li(n-Bu)}₆.²⁰ These compounds also possess distorted octahedral or trigonal prismatic structures with uncapped transoid Li₃ faces, except in the case of {Li(c-C₆H₁₁)}₆•2C₆H₆, where there are weak interactions with benzene. The six long Li–Li interactions in these structures

 ⁽¹⁶⁾ Zerger, R.; Rhine, W.; Stucky, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 6048.
 (17) Maercker, A.; Bsata, M.; Buchmeier, W.; Engelen, B. Chem. Ber.
 1984, 117, 2547.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Teclé, B.; Maqsudur Rahman, A. F. M.; Oliver, J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 317, 267.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Siemeling, U.; Redecker, T.; Neumann, B.; Stammler, H.-G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 5507.

⁽²⁰⁾ Kottke, T.; Stalke, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 580.



Figure 5. $^{13}C{^1H}$ NMR spectra of 1 and 1 + 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10 equiv of THF in C₆D₆ at room temperature, which illustrate the conversion of (THF·LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu)₄ (bottom) to {(THF)₂LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu)₂ (top).

are also associated with these uncapped Li₃ faces. The shorter and longer Li–Li distances in **1** may be compared with those observed in the hexameric alkyls. For instance, the short and long Li–Li interactions in {Li(*i*-Pr)}₆ are in the ranges 2.388-(3)–2.404(3) and 2.926(3)–3.014(3) Å, respectively, which are marginally (*ca*. 0.1 Å) shorter than those observed in **1**. The Li–C distance in **1** (avg 2.14(1) Å) is among the shortest observed in any associated base-free organolithium structure¹ and may be compared with the range of *ca*. 2.18–2.20 Å observed for the shorter Li–C bonds in the hexameric lithium alkyls mentioned above.^{16–20} The longer group of Li–C distances in **1** (avg 2.22(1) Å) is also shorter than those observed in the hexameric alkyls by a similar amount.

In essence, the structural parameters of 1 show that the Li–C bonding in 1 is significantly stronger than those in the corresponding alkyls and makes for a tighter aggregate overall. This is to be expected in view of the lower coordination number (i.e. five, cf. six in the alkyls) of the lithium bound *ipso*-carbon. In addition, the approximate sp² hybridization of the carbon bonding orbital, together with the presence of π -electron density, further enhance the lithium–carbon interactions.

NMR Studies. ¹³C NMR spectroscopy of the lithium aryls 1-4 shows that they possess the usual strong downfield^{5b} shift in their ipso-carbon signals. The high temperatures of the measurements-due to the limited solubilities-result in a narrow ipso-carbon signal, suggesting fast exchange of the Li⁺ ions and thereby precluding the determination of Li-C coupling constants. Their ipso-carbon chemical shifts are 1, 170.6 ppm (C₆D₆, 23 °C); 2, 165.8 ppm (C₇D₈, 110 °C); 3, 166.2 ppm (C₆D₆, 85 °C); and 4, 169.8 ppm (C₆D₆, 22 °C), which are relatively close to those of the solvates (Et₂O·LiC₆H₄-4-Me)₄^{6f} $(\delta = 170.2 (C(ipso)))$ and $(Et_2O \cdot LiPh)_4 (\delta = 174.2 (C(ipso)))$ that also have tetrameric structures in ether solution. In the case of 3 and 4, it could be argued that if lithium halide were included as part of their structures, the chemical shifts could be affected. However, we have compared the ¹³C NMR spectra of (LiPh·Et₂O)₄ and (LiPh·Et₂O)₃·LiBr, whose structures were already established by X-ray crystallography.⁷ The ¹³C NMR data for these compounds in ether solution (with *ca*. 10% C_6D_6 reference) show that there is only a small (ca. 2 ppm) difference in the shifts of *ipso*-carbons. Attempts to record the spectra of $(LiPh \cdot Et_2O)_3 \cdot LiBr$ in pure C_6D_6 led to the precipitation of LiBr and ¹³C NMR resonances identical to those of (LiPh•Et₂O)₄. It is therefore concluded that the inclusion of small amounts of lithium halide in 3 and 4 seems to have only a minor influence of their *ipso*-carbon chemical shifts. The addition of 1 equiv of Et_2O or THF per lithium to C_6D_6 solutions of **1** led to only a slight change of the C(ipso) chemical shift to 171.1 or 173.4 ppm, respectively. Furthermore, these additions resulted in a dramatic increase in the solubility of **1** in benzene. Additional evidence of Et_2O or THF complexation to the Li^+ ions in 1 was provided by shifts in the δ values of the ether or THF protons and carbons. It may be tentatively concluded that, when **1** is treated with 1 equiv of Et_2O or THF per lithium, it forms 1:1 complexes which are tetrameric aggregates with structures very similar to those established for (Et₂O·LiPh)₄ and (Et₂O· LiC₆H₄-4-Me)₄ in ether.⁶

It has already been shown by a number of groups that *ipso*carbon chemical shifts of the dimeric or monomeric solvated lithium aryls are significantly further downfield than the corresponding tetramers and are in the range of 180–200 ppm.⁶ Presumably, the greater downfield shift in these dimers or monomers is due to the lower coordination number (and lower shielding) of the C(ipso) carbon, which has a coordination number of four in the dimer and three in the monomer. The monomers and dimers were invariably obtained by the addition of a sufficient quantity of a Li⁺ complexing donor molecule to break down the aggregation. In a similar manner, the addition of excess THF to 1 in C₆D₆ results in a downfield shift of *ipso*-C resonance to 183.6 ppm (Figure 5), which is consistent with the formation of the dimer {(THF)₂·LiC₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu₂}₂ (cf. 188.2 ppm for C(*ipso*) of LiPh in THF) under similar conditions.⁶C

The C(*ipso*) chemical shifts of $(\text{LiTrip})_4^2$ ($\delta = 184.0$ (C(*ipso*))) or $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_3\text{-}2,6\text{-Dipp}_2)^3$ ($\delta = 186.0$ (C(*ipso*))) in

which Li⁺ is both η^{1} - σ -bonded and η^{6} - π -bonded to different phenyl rings (structures **A** and **C**) also imply that the effective coordination number of the C(*ipso*) is lowered (i.e. to three) in these compounds. In contrast, the chemical shift for **1** is closer to that of (LiC₆H₃-2,6-Mes₂)₂ structure **B** ($\delta = 173.5$ (C(*ipso*))). In this case, although the *ipso*-carbons are four-coordinate, it appears reasonable to believe that the chemical shift so produced would be similar to that in **1**, where the five-coordinate C(*ipso*) has a weaker interaction with two Li⁺ ions.

Conclusions

The first structural determination of a base-free, σ -bonded lithium aryl, which is not sterically encumbered by orthosubstituents, shows that it is hexameric in the crystalline phase. Moreover, the primary interaction between the lithiums and the aryl rings involves the ipso-carbon atom. There are also secondary interactions involving ortho-carbons, but there are no η^6 -aryl ring-Li linkages similar to those seen in the structures of $(\text{LiTrip})_4$ (A) or $(\text{LiC}_6\text{H}_3-2,6-\text{Dipp}_2)_2$ (C). The structure is thus quite different from the other three structures (i.e., A, B, and C) of unsolvated lithium aryls known at present. In essence, it is more representative of what the structure of a simple uncomplexed lithium aryl would resemble in the solid. Solution ${}^{13}C$ NMR for 1–4 strongly support a similarly associated structure in solution in the absence of Lewis bases. The addition of 1 equiv of Et_2O or THF per lithium to C_6D_6 solutions of 1 result in the formation of complexes, which on the basis of ¹³C NMR data probably have the tetrameric formulae (Et₂O·LiC₆H₃-3,5-t-Bu₂)₄ and (THF·LiC₆H₃-3,5-Bu₂)₄ with structures similar to that of (Et₂O·LiPh)₄.

The hexameric structure of **1** underlines the fact that the aggregation number six (rather than the more widely assumed four) is the most preferred one for unsolvated lithium alkyls or aryls. With sterically crowding groups and where there are intermolecular interactions, lower aggregation numbers (i.e. 2 or 4) are generally found. Thus, $[\text{Li}(t-\text{Bu})]_4^{20}$ and $\{\text{LiC-}(\text{SiMe}_3)_3\}_2^{21}$ are tetrameric and dimeric for steric reasons, and relatively close intermolecular interactions are in part responsible

(21) Hiller, W.; Layh, M.; Uhl, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 324.

for the classic tetrameric structures $(\text{LiMe})_4^{22}$ or $(\text{LiEt})_4^{23}$ which represent an intermediate stage between a molecular and a polymeric ionic structure. However, with groups that are large enough to prevent intermolecular interactions but not large enough to cause significant crowding (e.g. *i*-Pr, *c*-C₆H₁₁, CH₂(*c*-CHCMe₂CMe₂), *n*-Bu, CH₂SiMe₃, C₆H₃-3,5-*t*-Bu₂), hexameric structures of the lithium derivatives are observed, and this aggregation number is currently the most commonly seen in the solid state for unsolvated lithium alkyls or aryls.²⁴

Does LiPh have a hexameric structure like 1 in the solid? The similarities between the spectroscopy of 1, its ether or THF adduct, and the corresponding uncomplexed and complexed LiPh derivatives suggest that the answer is probably yes. The observation of one-sixth solvent molecule per aryllithium unit in the benzene and toluene solvates of 2 lends further credence to this view. However, it has been proposed^{6f} that the insolubility of LiPh in hydrocarbons is due to intermolecular interactions (cf. (LiMe)₄ structure) between (LiPh)₂ dimers that stack continuously to give polymers with four-coordinate lithium. Although the results in this paper do not preclude this possibility, it is probable that the solubility of a putative (LiPh)₆ hexamer in such solvents would also be extremely low.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society.

Supporting Information Available: Full tables of the data collection parameters, atom coordinates, bond distances and angles, hydrogen coordinates, and anisotropic thermal parameters together with notes on structure solution and refinement (22 pages). See any current masthead page for ordering and Internet access instructions.

JA962889I

^{(22) (}a) Weiss, E.; Lucken, E. C. A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 197.
(b) Weiss, E.; Hencken, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 21, 265.

^{(23) (}a) Dietrich, H. Acta Crystallogr. **1963**, 16, 681. (b) Dietrich, H. J. Organomet. Chem. **1981**, 205, 291.

⁽²⁴⁾ Organolithium compounds with aggregation numbers higher than six (e.g. Li(n-Pr)₈ or Li(n-Pr)₉) have been detected in solution at low temperatures. Nonetheless, at room temperature the hexameric structure predominates: Fraenkel, G.; Henrichs, M.; Hewittt, J. M.; Su, B. M.; Geckle, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1980**, *102*, 3345.